



Periodic table

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

The **periodic table** is a tabular arrangement of the chemical elements, ordered by their atomic number (number of protons), electron configurations, and recurring chemical properties. This ordering shows *periodic trends*, such as elements with similar behaviour in the same column. It also shows four rectangular blocks with some approximately similar chemical properties. In general, within one row (period) the elements are metals on the left, and non-metals on the right.

The rows of the table are called periods; the columns are called groups. Six groups have names as well as numbers: for example, group 17 elements are the halogens; and group 18, the noble gases. The periodic table can be used to derive relationships between the properties of the elements, and predict the properties of new elements yet to be discovered or synthesized. The periodic table provides a useful framework for analyzing chemical behaviour, and is widely used in chemistry and other sciences.

Dmitri Mendeleev published in 1869 the first widely recognized periodic table. He developed his table to illustrate periodic trends in the properties of the then-known elements. Mendeleev also predicted some properties of then-unknown elements that would be expected to fill gaps in this table. Most of his predictions were proved correct when the elements in question were subsequently discovered. Mendeleev's periodic table has since been expanded and refined with the discovery or synthesis of further new elements and the development of new theoretical models to explain chemical behaviour.

All elements from atomic numbers 1 (hydrogen) to 118 (oganesson) have been discovered or synthesized, with the most recent additions (nihonium, moscovium, tennessine, and oganesson) being confirmed by the IUPAC on December 30, 2015: they complete the first seven rows of the periodic table.^{[1][2]} The first 94 elements exist naturally, although some are found only in trace amounts and were synthesized in laboratories before being found in nature.^[n 1] Elements with atomic numbers from 95 to 118 have only been synthesized in laboratories or nuclear reactors.^[3] Synthesis of elements having higher atomic numbers is being pursued. Numerous synthetic radionuclides of naturally occurring elements have also been produced in laboratories.

The image shows a modern periodic table with 18 columns and 7 rows. The columns are labeled 'Group' and numbered 1 through 18. The rows are labeled 'Period' and numbered 1 through 7. The elements are color-coded: Group 1 (yellow), Group 2 (orange), Groups 3-10 (various shades of red and pink), Groups 11-18 (various shades of blue and green). The elements are arranged in order of increasing atomic number from top-left to bottom-right.

Modern periodic table, in 18-column layout (colour legend below)

Contents

- 1 Overview
- 2 Grouping methods
 - 2.1 Groups
 - 2.2 Periods
 - 2.3 Blocks
 - 2.4 Metals, metalloids and nonmetals
- 3 Periodic trends
 - 3.1 Electron configuration
 - 3.2 Atomic radii
 - 3.3 Ionization energy
 - 3.4 Electronegativity
 - 3.5 Electron affinity
 - 3.6 Metallic character
- 4 History
 - 4.1 First systemization attempts
 - 4.2 Mendeleev's table
 - 4.3 Second version and further development
- 5 Different periodic tables
 - 5.1 Group 3 constitution variants
 - 5.2 Periodic tables by different structure
- 6 Open questions and controversies
 - 6.1 Elements with unknown chemical properties
 - 6.2 Further periodic table extensions
 - 6.3 Element with the highest possible atomic number
 - 6.4 Placement of hydrogen and helium
 - 6.5 Groups included in the transition metals
 - 6.6 Group 3 and its elements in periods 6 and 7

- 6.7 Optimal form
- 7 See also
- 8 Notes
- 9 References
- 10 Bibliography
- 11 External links

Overview

Periodic table																		
Group	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
	Alkali metals	Alkaline earth metals													Pnictogens	Chalcogens	Halogens	Noble gases
Period	Hydrogen																	Helium
1	1 H																	2 He
2	3 Li	4 Be											5 B	6 C	7 N	8 O	9 F	10 Ne
3	11 Na	12 Mg											13 Al	14 Si	15 P	16 S	17 Cl	18 Ar
4	19 K	20 Ca	Scandium 21 Sc	Titanium 22 Ti	Vanadium 23 V	Chromium 24 Cr	Manganese 25 Mn	Iron 26 Fe	Cobalt 27 Co	Nickel 28 Ni	Copper 29 Cu	Zinc 30 Zn	Gallium 31 Ga	Germanium 32 Ge	Arsenic 33 As	Selenium 34 Se	Bromine 35 Br	36 Kr
5	37 Rb	38 Sr	Yttrium 39 Y	Zirconium 40 Zr	Niobium 41 Nb	Molybdenum 42 Mo	Technetium 43 Tc	Ruthenium 44 Ru	Rhodium 45 Rh	Palladium 46 Pd	Silver 47 Ag	Cadmium 48 Cd	Indium 49 In	Tin 50 Sn	Antimony 51 Sb	Tellurium 52 Te	Iodine 53 I	54 Xe
6	55 Cs	56 Ba	* Lutetium 71 Lu	Hafnium 72 Hf	Tantalum 73 Ta	Tungsten 74 W	Rhenium 75 Re	Osmium 76 Os	Iridium 77 Ir	Platinum 78 Pt	Gold 79 Au	Mercury 80 Hg	Thallium 81 Tl	Lead 82 Pb	Bismuth 83 Bi	Polonium 84 Po	Astatine 85 At	86 Rn
7	87 Fr	88 Ra	** Lawrencium 103 Lr	Rutherfordium 104 Rf	Dubnium 105 Db	Seaborgium 106 Sg	Bohrium 107 Bh	Hassium 108 Hs	Meitnerium 109 Mt	Darmstadtium 110 Ds	Roentgenium 111 Rg	Copernicium 112 Cn	Nihonium 113 Nh	Flerovium 114 Fl	Moscovium 115 Mc	Livermorium 116 Lv	Tennesine 117 Ts	Oganesson 118 Og
			* Lanthanum 57 La	Cerium 58 Ce	Praseodymium 59 Pr	Neodymium 60 Nd	Promethium 61 Pm	Samarium 62 Sm	Europium 63 Eu	Gadolinium 64 Gd	Terbium 65 Tb	Dysprosium 66 Dy	Holmium 67 Ho	Erbium 68 Er	Thulium 69 Tm	Ytterbium 70 Yb		
			** Actinium 89 Ac	Thorium 90 Th	Protactinium 91 Pa	Uranium 92 U	Neptunium 93 Np	Plutonium 94 Pu	Americium 95 Am	Curium 96 Cm	Berkelium 97 Bk	Californium 98 Cf	Einsteinium 99 Es	Fermium 100 Fm	Mendelevium 101 Md	Nobelium 102 No		

black=solid green=liquid red=gas gray=unknown **Color of the atomic number** shows state of matter (at 0 °C and 1 atm)

Primordial From decay Synthetic **Border** shows natural occurrence of the element

Background color shows subcategory in the metal–metalloid–nonmetal trend:

Metal						Nonmetal			Unknown chemical properties	
Alkali metal	Alkaline earth metal	Lanthanide	Actinide	Transition metal	Post-transition metal	Metalloid	Polyatomic nonmetal	Diatomic nonmetal	Noble gas	Unknown chemical properties

Each chemical element has a unique atomic number (*Z*) representing the number of protons in its nucleus.^[n 2] Most elements have differing numbers of neutrons among different atoms, with these variants being referred to as isotopes. For example, carbon has three naturally occurring isotopes: all of its atoms have six protons and most have six neutrons as well, but about one per cent have seven neutrons, and a very small fraction have eight neutrons. Isotopes are never separated in the periodic table; they are always grouped together under a single element.

Elements with no stable isotopes have the atomic masses of their most stable isotopes, where such masses are shown, listed in parentheses.^[4]

In the standard periodic table, the elements are listed in order of increasing atomic number (the number of protons in the nucleus of an atom). A new row (*period*) is started when a new electron shell has its first electron. Columns (*groups*) are determined by the electron configuration of the atom; elements with the same number of electrons in a particular subshell fall into the same columns (e.g. oxygen and selenium are in the same column because they both have four electrons in the outermost p-subshell). Elements with similar chemical properties generally fall into the same group in the periodic table, although in the f-block, and to some respect in the d-block, the elements in the same period tend to have similar properties, as well. Thus, it is relatively easy to predict the chemical properties of an element if one knows the properties of the elements around it.^[5]

As of 2016, the periodic table has 118 confirmed elements, from element 1 (hydrogen) to 118 (oganesson). Elements 113, 115, 117 and 118 were officially confirmed by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) in December 2015. Their proposed names, nihonium (Nh), moscovium (Mc), tennessine (Ts) and oganesson (Og) respectively, were announced by the IUPAC in June 2016 and made official in November 2016.^{[6][7][8][9]}

The first 94 elements occur naturally; the remaining 24, americium to oganesson (95–118) occur only when synthesized in laboratories. Of the 94 naturally occurring elements, 83 are primordial and 11 occur only in decay chains of primordial elements.^[3] No element heavier than einsteinium (element 99) has ever been observed in macroscopic quantities in its pure form, nor has astatine (element 85); francium (element 87) has been only photographed in the form of light emitted from microscopic quantities (300,000 atoms).^[10]

Grouping methods

Groups

A *group* or *family* is a vertical column in the periodic table. Groups usually have more significant periodic trends than periods and blocks, explained below. Modern quantum mechanical theories of atomic structure explain group trends by proposing that elements within the same group generally have the same electron configurations in their valence shell.^[11] Consequently, elements in the same group tend to have a shared chemistry and exhibit a clear trend in properties with increasing atomic number.^[12] However, in some parts of the periodic table, such as the d-block and the f-block, horizontal similarities can be as important as, or more pronounced than, vertical similarities.^{[13][14][15]}

Under an international naming convention, the groups are numbered numerically from 1 to 18 from the leftmost column (the alkali metals) to the rightmost column (the noble gases).^[16] Previously, they were known by roman numerals. In America, the roman numerals were followed by either an "A" if the group was in the s- or p-block, or a "B" if the group was in the d-block. The roman numerals used correspond to the last digit of today's naming convention (e.g. the group 4 elements were group IVB, and the group 14 elements were group IVA). In Europe, the lettering was similar, except that "A" was used if the group was before group 10, and "B" was used for groups including and after group 10. In addition, groups 8, 9 and 10 used to be treated as one triple-sized group, known collectively in both notations as group VIII. In 1988, the new IUPAC naming system was put into use, and the old group names were deprecated.^[17]

Some of these groups have been given trivial (unsystematic) names, as seen in the table below, although some are rarely used. Groups 3–10 have no trivial names and are referred to simply by their group numbers or by the name of the first member of their group (such as "the scandium group" for Group 3), since they display fewer similarities and/or vertical trends.^[16]

Elements in the same group tend to show patterns in atomic radius, ionization energy, and electronegativity. From top to bottom in a group, the atomic radii of the elements increase. Since there are more filled energy levels, valence electrons are found farther from the nucleus. From the top, each successive element has a lower ionization energy because it is easier to remove an electron since the atoms are less tightly bound.

Similarly, a group has a top to bottom decrease in electronegativity due to an increasing distance between valence electrons and the nucleus.^[18] There are exceptions to these trends, however, an example of which occurs in group 11 where electronegativity increases farther down the group.

^[19]

Group names in the Periodic table																			
Group number ^a	1	2	3 ^d	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Mendeleev (I–VIII)	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII			I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	b	
CAS (US, pattern A-B-A)	IA	IIA	IIIB	IVB	VB	VIB	VII B	VIII B			IB	IIB	IIIA	IVA	VA	VIA	VIIA	VIIIA	
old IUPAC (Europe, pattern A-B)	IA	IIA	IIIA	IVA	VA	VIA	VIIA	VIII			IB	IIB	IIIB	IVB	VB	VIB	VII B	0	
Trivial name	Alkali metals	Alkaline earth metals						Coinage metals ^e	Volatile metals ^e	Icosagens ^e	Crystallogens ^e	Pnictogens	Chalcogens	Halogens			Noble gases		
Name by element	Lithium group	Beryllium group	Scandium group	Titanium group	Vanadium group	Chromium group	Manganese group	Iron group	Cobalt group	Nickel group	Copper group	Zinc group	Boron group	Carbon group	Nitrogen group	Oxygen group	Fluorine group	Helium or Neon group	
Period 1	H																	He	
Period 2	Li	Be											B	C	N	O	F	Ne	
Period 3	Na	Mg											Al	Si	P	S	Cl	Ar	
Period 4	K	Ca		Sc	Ti	V	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Se	Br	Kr
Period 5	Rb	Sr	^d	Y	Zr	Nb	Mo	Tc	Ru	Rh	Pd	Ag	Cd	In	Sn	Sb	Te	I	Xe
Period 6	Cs	Ba	La–Yb	^d Lu	Hf	Ta	W	Re	Os	Ir	Pt	Au	Hg	Tl	Pb	Bi	Po	At	Rn
Period 7	Fr	Ra	Ac–No	^d Lr	Rf	Db	Sg	Bh	Hs	Mt	Ds	Rg	Cn	Nh	Fl	Mc	Lv	Ts	Og

^a Current, modern IUPAC group number.

^b The noble gases had not yet been discovered at the time of Mendeleev's original table. Later (1902), Mendeleev accepted the evidence for the existence of the noble gases, and placed them in a separate "group 0".

^c Hydrogen (H), while placed in column 1, is not considered to be in the group alkali metals.

^d Group 3: Depending on the source, the entries below scandium and yttrium in this group include lutetium (Lu) and lawrencium (Lr), or lanthanum (La) and actinium (Ac), or the whole set of 15 lanthanides and 15 actinides. IUPAC has initiated a project to choose between the first two possibilities, so that the recommended definition of Group 3 will be either (1) the elements **Sc, Y, Lu and Lr**, or (2) the elements **Sc, Y, La and Ac**. The constitution of group 3 of the periodic table (https://iupac.org/projects/project-details/?project_nr=2015-039-2-200);

^e This group name is not recommended by IUPAC.


Periods

A *period* is a horizontal row in the periodic table. Although groups generally have more significant periodic trends, there are regions where horizontal trends are more significant than vertical group trends, such as the f-block, where the lanthanides and actinides form two substantial horizontal series of elements.^[20]

Elements in the same period show trends in atomic radius, ionization energy, electron affinity, and electronegativity. Moving left to right across a period, atomic radius usually decreases. This occurs because each successive element has an added proton and electron, which causes the electron to be drawn closer to the nucleus.^[21] This decrease in atomic radius also causes the ionization energy to increase when moving from left to right across a period. The more tightly bound an element is, the more energy is required to remove an electron. Electronegativity increases in the same manner as ionization energy because of the pull exerted on the electrons by the nucleus.^[18] Electron affinity also shows a slight trend across a period. Metals (left side of a period) generally have a lower electron affinity than nonmetals (right side of a period), with the exception of the noble gases.^[22]

Blocks

Specific regions of the periodic table can be referred to as *blocks* in recognition of the sequence in which the electron shells of the elements are filled. Each block is named according to the subshell in which the "last" electron notionally resides.^{[23][n 3]} The s-block comprises the first two groups (alkali metals and alkaline earth metals) as well as hydrogen and helium. The p-block comprises the last six groups, which are groups 13 to 18 in IUPAC group numbering (3A to 8A in American group numbering) and contains, among other elements, all of the metalloids. The d-block comprises groups 3 to 12 (or 3B to 2B in American group numbering) and contains all of the transition metals. The f-block, often offset below the rest of the periodic table, has no group numbers and comprises lanthanides and actinides.^[24]




Metals, metalloids and nonmetals

According to their shared physical and chemical properties, the elements can be classified into the major categories of metals, metalloids and nonmetals. Metals are generally shiny, highly conducting solids that form alloys with one another and salt-like ionic compounds with nonmetals (other than the noble gases). The majority of nonmetals are coloured or colourless insulating gases; nonmetals that form compounds with other nonmetals feature covalent bonding. In between metals and nonmetals are metalloids, which have intermediate or mixed properties.^[25]


Metal and nonmetals can be further classified into subcategories that show a gradation from metallic to non-metallic properties, when going left to right in the rows. The metals are subdivided into the highly reactive alkali metals, through the less reactive alkaline earth metals, lanthanides and actinides, via the archetypal transition metals, and ending in the physically and chemically weak post-transition metals. The nonmetals are simply subdivided into the polyatomic nonmetals, which, being nearest to the metalloids, show some incipient metallic character; the diatomic nonmetals, which are essentially nonmetallic; and the monatomic noble gases, which are nonmetallic and almost completely inert. Specialized groupings such as the refractory metals and the noble metals, which are subsets (in this example) of the transition metals, are also known^[26] and occasionally denoted.^[27]

Placing the elements into categories and subcategories based on shared properties is imperfect. There is a spectrum of properties within each category and it is not hard to find overlaps at the boundaries, as is the case with most classification schemes.^[28] Beryllium, for example, is classified as an alkaline earth metal although its amphoteric chemistry and tendency to mostly form covalent compounds are both attributes of a chemically weak or post transition metal. Radon is classified as a nonmetal and a noble gas yet has some cationic chemistry that is more characteristic of a metal. Other classification schemes are possible such as the division of the elements into mineralogical occurrence categories, or crystalline structures. Categorizing the elements in this fashion dates back to at least 1869 when Hinrichs^[29] wrote that simple boundary lines could be drawn on the periodic table to show elements having like properties, such as the metals and the nonmetals, or the gaseous elements.



Periodic trends

Electron configuration



Approximate order in which shells and subshells are arranged by increasing energy according to the Madelung rule


The electron configuration or organisation of electrons orbiting neutral atoms shows a recurring pattern or periodicity. The electrons occupy a series of electron shells (numbered shell 1, shell 2, and so on). Each shell consists of one or more subshells (named s, p, d, f and g). As atomic number increases, electrons progressively fill these shells and subshells more or less according to the Madelung rule or energy ordering rule, as shown in the diagram. The electron configuration for neon, for example, is $1s^2 2s^2 2p^6$. With an atomic number of ten, neon has two electrons in the first shell, and eight electrons in the second shell—two in the s subshell and six in the p subshell. In periodic table terms, the first time an electron occupies a new shell corresponds to the start of each new period, these positions being occupied by hydrogen and the alkali metals.^{[30][31]}


Since the properties of an element are mostly determined by its electron configuration, the properties of the elements likewise show recurring patterns or periodic behaviour, some examples of which are shown in the diagrams below for atomic radii, ionization energy and electron affinity. It is this periodicity of properties, manifestations of which were noticed well before the underlying theory was developed, that led to the establishment of the periodic law (the properties of the elements recur at varying intervals) and the formulation of the first periodic tables.^{[30][31]}

Atomic radii

Atomic radii vary in a predictable and explainable manner across the periodic table. For instance, the radii generally decrease along each period of the table, from the alkali metals to the noble gases; and increase down each group. The radius increases sharply between the noble gas at the end of each period and the alkali metal at the beginning of the next period. These trends of the atomic radii (and of various other chemical and physical properties of the elements) can be explained by the electron shell theory of the atom; they provided important evidence for the development and confirmation of quantum theory.^[32]

The electrons in the 4f-subshell, which is progressively filled from cerium (element 58) to ytterbium (element 70), are not particularly effective at shielding the increasing nuclear charge from the sub-shells further out. The elements immediately following the lanthanides have atomic radii that are smaller than would be expected and that are almost identical to the atomic radii of the elements immediately above them.^[33] Hence hafnium has virtually the same atomic radius (and chemistry) as zirconium, and tantalum has an atomic radius similar to niobium, and so forth.



Atomic number plotted against atomic radius^[n 4]

The first ionization energy is the energy it takes to remove one electron from an atom, the second ionization energy is the energy it takes to remove a second electron from the atom, and so on. For a given atom, successive ionization energies increase with the degree of ionization. For magnesium as an example, the first ionization energy is 738 kJ/mol and the second is 1450 kJ/mol. Electrons in the closer orbitals experience greater forces of electrostatic attraction; thus, their removal requires increasingly more energy. Ionization energy becomes greater up and to the right of the periodic table.^[34]

Large jumps in the successive molar ionization energies occur when removing an electron from a noble gas (complete electron shell) configuration. For magnesium again, the first two molar ionization energies of magnesium given above correspond to removing the two 3s electrons, and the third ionization energy is a much larger 7730 kJ/mol, for the removal of a 2p electron from the very stable neon-like configuration of Mg^{2+} . Similar jumps occur in the ionization energies of other third-row atoms.^[34]

Electronegativity

Electronegativity is the tendency of an atom to attract electrons.^[35] An atom's electronegativity is affected by both its atomic number and the distance between the valence electrons and the nucleus. The higher its electronegativity, the more an element attracts electrons. It was first proposed by Linus Pauling in 1932.^[36] In general, electronegativity increases on passing from left to right along a period, and decreases on descending a group. Hence, fluorine is the most electronegative of the elements,^[n 5] while caesium is the least, at least of those elements for which substantial data is available.^[19]

There are some exceptions to this general rule. Gallium and germanium have higher electronegativities than aluminium and silicon respectively because of the d-block contraction. Elements of the fourth period immediately after the first row of the transition metals have unusually small atomic radii because the 3d-electrons are not effective at shielding the increased nuclear charge, and smaller atomic size correlates with higher electronegativity.^[19] The anomalously high electronegativity of lead, particularly when compared to thallium and bismuth, appears to be an artifact of data selection (and data availability)—methods of calculation other than the Pauling method show the normal periodic trends for these elements.^[37]


Electron affinity

The electron affinity of an atom is the amount of energy released when an electron is added to a neutral atom to form a negative ion. Although electron affinity varies greatly, some patterns emerge. Generally, nonmetals have more positive electron affinity values than metals. Chlorine most strongly attracts an extra electron. The electron affinities of the noble gases have not been measured conclusively, so they may or may not have slightly negative values.^[40]


Electron affinity generally increases across a period. This is caused by the filling of the valence shell of the atom; a group 17 atom releases more energy than a group 1 atom on gaining an electron because it obtains a filled valence shell and is therefore more stable.^[40]

This is known as the lanthanide contraction. The effect of the lanthanide contraction is noticeable up to platinum (element 78), after which it is masked by a relativistic effect known as the inert pair effect.^[34] The d-block contraction, which is a similar effect between the d-block and p-block, is less pronounced than the lanthanide contraction but arises from a similar cause.^[33]

Ionization energy



Ionization energy: each period begins at a minimum for the alkali metals, and ends at a maximum for the noble gases




Graph showing increasing electronegativity with growing number of selected groups

A trend of decreasing electron affinity going down groups would be expected. The additional electron will be entering an orbital farther away from the nucleus. As such this electron would be less attracted to the nucleus and would release less energy when added. However, in going down a group, around one-third of elements are anomalous, with heavier elements having higher electron affinities than their next lighter congeners. Largely, this is due to the poor shielding by d and f electrons. A uniform decrease in electron affinity only applies to group 1 atoms.^[41]

Metallic character

The lower the values of ionization energy, electronegativity and electron affinity, the more metallic character the element has. Conversely, nonmetallic character increases with higher values of these properties.^[42] Given the periodic trends of these three properties, metallic character tends to decrease going across a period (or row) and, with some irregularities (mostly) due to poor screening of the nucleus by d and f electrons, and relativistic effects,^[43] tends to increase going down a group (or column or family). Thus, the most metallic elements (such as caesium and francium) are found at the bottom left of traditional periodic tables and the most nonmetallic elements (oxygen, fluorine, chlorine) at the top right. The combination of horizontal and vertical trends in metallic character explains the stair-shaped dividing line between metals and nonmetals found on some periodic tables, and the practice of sometimes categorizing several elements adjacent to that line, or elements adjacent to those elements, as metalloids.^{[44][45]}



Dependence of electron affinity on atomic number.^[38] Values generally increase across each period, culminating with the halogens before decreasing precipitously with the noble gases. Examples of localized peaks seen in hydrogen, the alkali metals and the group 11 elements are caused by a tendency to complete the s-shell (with the 6s shell of gold being further stabilized by relativistic effects and the presence of a filled 4f sub shell). Examples of localized troughs seen in the alkaline earth metals, and nitrogen, phosphorus, manganese and rhenium are caused by filled s-shells, or half-filled p- or d-shells.^[39]


History

First systemization attempts

In 1789, Antoine Lavoisier published a list of 33 chemical elements, grouping them into gases, metals, nonmetals, and earths.^[46] Chemists spent the following century searching for a more precise classification scheme. In 1829, Johann Wolfgang Döbereiner observed that many of the elements could be grouped into triads based on their chemical properties. Lithium, sodium, and potassium, for example, were grouped together in a triad as soft, reactive metals. Döbereiner also observed that, when arranged by atomic weight, the second member of each triad was roughly the average of the first and the third;^[47] this became known as the Law of Triads.^[48] German chemist Leopold Gmelin worked with this system, and by 1843 he had identified ten triads, three groups of four, and one group of five. Jean-Baptiste Dumas published work in 1857 describing relationships between various groups of metals. Although various chemists were able to identify relationships between small groups of elements, they had yet to build one scheme that encompassed them all.^[47]

In 1857, German chemist August Kekulé observed that carbon often has four other atoms bonded to it. Methane, for example, has one carbon atom and four hydrogen atoms.^[49] This concept eventually became known as valency; different elements bond with different numbers of atoms.^[50]

In 1862, Alexandre-Emile Béguyer de Chancourtois, a French geologist, published an early form of periodic table, which he called the telluric helix or screw. He was the first person to notice the periodicity of the elements. With the elements arranged in a spiral on a cylinder by order of increasing atomic weight, de Chancourtois showed that elements with similar properties seemed to occur at regular intervals. His chart included some ions and compounds in addition to elements. His paper also used geological rather than chemical terms and did not include a diagram; as a result, it received little attention until the work of Dmitri Mendeleev.^[51]



In 1864, Julius Lothar Meyer, a German chemist, published a table with 44 elements arranged by valency. The table showed that elements with similar properties often shared the same valency.^[52] Concurrently, William Odling (an English chemist) published an arrangement of 57 elements, ordered on the basis of their atomic weights. With some irregularities and gaps, he noticed what appeared to be a periodicity of atomic weights among the elements and that this accorded with "their usually received groupings".^[53] Odling alluded to the idea of a periodic law but did not pursue it.^[54] He subsequently proposed (in 1870) a valence-based classification of the elements.^[55]

English chemist John Newlands produced a series of papers from 1863 to 1866 noting that when the elements were listed in order of increasing atomic weight, similar physical and chemical properties recurred at intervals of eight; he likened such periodicity to the octaves of music.^{[56][57]} This so termed Law of Octaves, however, was ridiculed by Newlands' contemporaries, and the Chemical Society refused to publish his work.^[58] Newlands was nonetheless able to draft a table of the elements and used it to predict the existence of missing elements, such as germanium.^[59] The Chemical Society only acknowledged the significance of his discoveries five years after they credited Mendeleev.^[60]

No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
H 1	F 8	Cl 17	Co & Ni 21	Br 29	Pd 36	I 45	Pt & Ir 50
Li 2	Na 9	K 16	Cu 23	Rb 35	Ag 37	Cs 44	Ra 51
B 3	Mg 10	Ca 19	Zn 24	Sr 31	Cd 38	Ba & V 41	Hg 54
Bo 4	Al 11	Cr 18	Y 25	Ce & La 33	U 40	Ta 47	Th 52
C 5	Si 12	Ti 18	In 26	Zr 32	Sn 39	W 42	Pb 54
N 6	P 13	Mn 20	As 27	Di & Mo 34	Sb 41	Nb 45	Bi 55
O 7	S 14	Fe 21	Se 28	Ro & Ru 35	Te 43	Au 49	Th 56

Newlands' periodic table, as presented to the Chemical Society in 1866, and based on the law of octaves

In 1867, Gustavus Hinrichs, a Danish born academic chemist based in America, published a spiral periodic system based on atomic spectra and weights, and chemical similarities. His work was regarded as idiosyncratic, ostentatious and labyrinthine and this may have militated against its recognition and acceptance.^{[61][62]}

Mendeleev's table



Dmitri Mendeleev, watercolor by Ilya Repin's



Mendeleev's periodic table from his book

Russian chemistry professor Dmitri Mendeleev and German chemist Julius Lothar Meyer independently published their periodic tables in 1869 and 1870, respectively.^[63] Mendeleev's table was his first published version; that of Meyer was an expanded version of his (Meyer's) table of 1864.^[64] They both constructed their tables by listing the elements in rows or columns in order of atomic weight and starting a new row or column when the characteristics of the elements began to repeat.^[65]

The recognition and acceptance afforded to Mendeleev's table came from two decisions he made. The first was to leave gaps in the table when it seemed that the corresponding element had not yet been discovered.^[66] Mendeleev was not the first chemist to do so, but he was the first to be recognized as using the trends in his periodic table to predict the properties of those missing elements, such as gallium and germanium.^[67] The second decision was to occasionally ignore the order suggested by the atomic weights and switch adjacent elements, such as tellurium and iodine, to better classify them into chemical families. Later in 1913, Henry Moseley determined experimental values of the nuclear charge or atomic number of each element, and showed that Mendeleev's ordering actually corresponds to the order of increasing atomic number.^[68]

^[68]

ОПЫТЪ СИСТЕМЫ ЭЛЕМЕНТОВЪ,
ОСНОВАННОЙ НА ИХЪ АТОМНЫХЪ ВѢСѢХЪ И ХИМИЧЕСКОМЪ СВОЕВѢСІИ.

	Ti=50	Zr=90	7=180.
	V=51	Nb=94	Ta=182.
	Cr=52	Mo=96	W=186.
	Mn=55	Rh=104.	Pt=197.
	Fe=56	Ru=104.	I=198.
	Ni=Co=59	Pd=106.	Hg=200.
	Cu=63.	Ag=108	Hg=200.
H=1	Be=9.	Mg=24	Zn=65.
	B=11	Al=27.	7=68
	C=12	Si=28	7=70
	N=14	P=31	As=75
	O=16	S=32	Se=78.
	F=19	Cl=35.	Br=80
Li=7	Na=23	K=39	Rb=85.
	Ca=40	Sr=87.	Ba=137
			7=45
			7=56
			7=60
			7=75.
			7=118?

Д. Менделѣевъ

A version of Mendeleev's 1869 periodic table: *An experiment on a system of elements based on their atomic weights and chemical similarities.* This early arrangement presents the periods vertically, and the groups horizontally.

The significance of atomic numbers to the organization of the periodic table was not appreciated until the existence and properties of protons and neutrons became understood. Mendeleev's periodic tables used atomic weight instead of atomic number to organize the elements, information determinable to fair precision in his time. Atomic weight worked well enough in most cases to (as noted) give a presentation that was able to predict the properties of missing elements more accurately than any other method then known. Substitution of atomic numbers, once understood, gave a definitive, integer-based sequence for the elements, and Moseley predicted (in 1913) that the only elements still missing between aluminium (Z=13) and gold (Z=79) were Z = 43, 61, 72 and 75, all of which were later discovered. The sequence of atomic numbers is still used today even as new synthetic elements are being produced and studied.^[69]

Second version and further development

In 1871, Mendeleev published his periodic table in a new form, with groups of similar elements arranged in columns rather than in rows, and those columns numbered I to VIII corresponding with the element's oxidation state. He also gave detailed predictions for the properties of elements he had earlier noted were missing, but should exist.^[70] These gaps were subsequently filled as chemists discovered additional naturally occurring elements.^[71] It is often stated that the last naturally occurring element to be discovered was francium (referred to by Mendeleev as *eka-caesium*) in 1939.^[72] However, plutonium, produced synthetically in 1940, was identified in trace quantities as a naturally occurring element in 1971.^[73]

Reihen	Gruppe I — R ⁺ O	Gruppe II — R ⁺ O	Gruppe III — R ⁺ O ²	Gruppe IV. RH ⁺ RO ²	Gruppe V. RH ⁺ R ⁺ O ³	Gruppe VI. RHF RO ³	Gruppe VII. RH R ⁺ O ³	Gruppe VIII. — RO ⁴
1	H=1							
2	Li=7	Be=9,4	B=11	C=12	N=14	O=16	F=19	
3	Na=23	Mg=24	Al=27,3	Si=28	P=31	S=32	Cl=35,5	
4	K=39	Ca=40	—=44	Ti=48	V=51	Cr=52	Mn=55	Fe=56, Co=59, Ni=59, Cu=63.
5	(Cu=63)	Zn=65	—=68	—=72	As=75	Se=78	Br=80	
6	Rb=85	Sr=87	?Yt=88	Zr=90	Nb=94	Mo=96	—=100	Ru=104, Rh=104, Pd=106, Ag=108.
7	(Ag=108)	Cd=112	In=113	Sn=118	Sb=122	Te=125	J=127	
8	Cs=133	Ba=137	?Di=138	?Ce=140				— — — —
9	(—)		?Er=178	?La=180	Ta=182	W=184		— — — —
10								Os=195, Ir=197, Pt=198, Au=199.
11	(Au=199)	Hg=200	Tl=204	Pb=207	Bi=208			— — — —
12				Th=231		U=240		— — — —

Mendeleev's 1871 periodic table with eight groups of elements. Dashes represented elements unknown in 1871.

	Group																							
	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI	XII							XIII	XIV	XV	XVI	XVII	XVIII
1	H																				He			
2	Li	Be	B	C	N	O	F	Ne																
3	Na	Mg	Al	Si	P	S	Cl	Ar																
4	K	Ca	Sc	Ti	V	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni														
5	Rb	Sr	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Se	Br	Kr															
6	Cs	Ba	La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Pm	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu							
7	Fr	Ra	Ac																					
8																								
9																								
10																								
11																								
12																								

Eight-column form of periodic table, updated with all elements discovered to 2015

The popular^[74] periodic table layout, also known as the common or standard form (as shown at various other points in this article), is attributable to Horace Groves Deming. In 1923, Deming, an American chemist, published short (Mendeleev style (http://www.meta-synthesis.com/webbook/35_pt/pt_database.php?PT_id=456)) and medium (18-column (http://www.meta-synthesis.com/webbook/35_pt/pt_database.php?PT_id=360)) form periodic tables.^{[75][n 6]} Merck and Company prepared a handout form of Deming's 18-column medium table, in 1928, which was widely circulated in American schools. By the 1930s Deming's table was appearing in handbooks and encyclopaedias of chemistry. It was also distributed for many years by the Sargent-Welch Scientific Company.^{[76][77][78]}

With the development of modern quantum mechanical theories of electron configurations within atoms, it became apparent that each period (row) in the table corresponded to the filling of a quantum shell of electrons. Larger atoms have more electron sub-shells, so later tables have required progressively longer periods.^[79]

In 1945, Glenn Seaborg, an American scientist, made the suggestion that the actinide elements, like the lanthanides, were filling an f sub-level. Before this time the actinides were thought to be forming a fourth d-block row. Seaborg's colleagues advised him not to publish such a radical suggestion as it would most likely ruin his career. As Seaborg considered he did not then have a career to bring into disrepute, he published anyway. Seaborg's suggestion was found to be correct and he subsequently went on to win the 1951 Nobel Prize in chemistry for his work in synthesizing actinide elements.^{[80][81][n 7]}




Glenn T. Seaborg who, in 1945, suggested a new periodic table showing the actinides as belonging to a second f-block series

Although minute quantities of some transuranic elements occur naturally,^[3] they were all first discovered in laboratories. Their production has expanded the periodic table significantly, the first of these being neptunium, synthesized in 1939.^[82] Because many of the transuranic elements are highly unstable and decay quickly, they are challenging to detect and characterize when produced. There have been controversies concerning the acceptance of competing discovery claims for some elements, requiring independent review to determine which party has priority, and hence naming rights.^[83] In 2010, a joint Russia–US collaboration at Dubna, Moscow Oblast, Russia, claimed to have synthesized six atoms of tennessine (element 117), making it the most recently claimed discovery. It, along with nihonium (element 113), moscovium (element 115), and oganesson (element 118), are the four most recently named elements, whose names all became official on 28 November 2016.^[84]

Different periodic tables


Group 3 constitution variants




There are three main variants of periodic table, each differing as to the constitution of group 3. Scandium and yttrium are uniformly shown as the

first two members of this group; the differences hinge on the identity of the remaining members.^[85]

Group 3 is Sc, Y, and La, Ac. Lanthanum (La) and actinium (Ac) occupy the two positions below yttrium. This variant is the most common.^{[86][n 8]} It emphasizes similarities in periodic trends going down groups 1, 2 and 3, at the expense of discontinuities in periodic trends between groups 3 and 4 and fragmenting the lanthanides and actinides.^[n 9]



Group 3 is Sc, Y, and Lu, Lr. Lutetium (Lu) and lawrencium (Lr) occupy the two positions below yttrium. This variant retains a 14-column wide f-block while fragmenting the lanthanides and actinides. It emphasizes similarities in periodic trends between group 3 and the following groups at the expense of discontinuities in periodic trends between groups 2 and 3.^[n 10]



Group 3 is Sc, Y, and 15 lanthanides and 15 actinides. The two positions below yttrium contain the lanthanides and the actinides (possibly by footnote markers). This variant emphasizes similarities in the chemistry of the 15 lanthanide elements (La–Lu), at the expense of ambiguity as to which elements occupy the two group 3 positions below yttrium, and seemingly a 15-column wide f-block (there can only be 14 elements in any row of the f-block).^[n 11]

The three variants originate from historical difficulties in placing the lanthanides in the periodic table, and arguments as to where the f-block elements start and end.^{[87][n 12]} It has been claimed that such arguments are proof that, "it is a mistake to break the [periodic] system into sharply delimited blocks".^[88] Equally, some versions of the two markers table have been criticized for implying that all 15 lanthanides occupy the single box or place below yttrium,^{[89][n 13]} in breach of the basic principle of one place, one element.^{[90][n 14]} The controversy over which two elements occupy the Group 3 positions below scandium and yttrium is further discussed in the Open questions and controversies section of this article.

The Lu and Lr table is shown in the lead and overview section of this article. When compared to the La and Ac variant, there are fewer apparent exceptions to the regular filling of the 4f orbitals among the subsequent members of the series.^{[91][n 15]} Unlike the two markers variant, there is no ambiguity on the composition of group 3.

Periodic tables by different structure

Within 100 years of the appearance of Mendeleev's table in 1869 it has been estimated that around 700 different periodic table versions were published.^[92] As well as numerous rectangular variations, other periodic table formats have been shaped, for example,^[n 16] like a circle, cube, cylinder, building, spiral, lemniscate,^[93] octagonal prism, pyramid, sphere, or triangle. Such alternatives are often developed to highlight or emphasize chemical or physical properties of the elements that are not as apparent in traditional periodic tables.^[92]

The periodic table in 32-column format

The modern periodic table is sometimes expanded into its long or 32-column form by reinstating the footnoted f-block elements into their natural position between the s- and d-blocks. Unlike the 18-column form this arrangement results in "no interruptions in the sequence of increasing atomic numbers".^[94] The relationship of the f-block to the other blocks of the periodic table also becomes easier to see.^[95] Jensen advocates a

Element with the highest possible atomic number

The number of possible elements is not known. A very early suggestion made by Elliot Adams in 1911, and based on the arrangement of elements in each horizontal periodic table row, was that elements of atomic weight greater than $256\pm$ (which would equate to between elements 99 and 100 in modern-day terms) did not exist.^[118] A higher—more recent—estimate is that the periodic table may end soon after the island of stability,^[119] which is expected to centre around element 126, as the extension of the periodic and nuclides tables is restricted by proton and neutron drip lines.^[120] Other predictions of an end to the periodic table include at element 128 by John Emsley,^[3] at element 137 by Richard Feynman,^[121] and at element 155 by Albert Khazan.^{[3][n 17]}

Bohr model

The Bohr model exhibits difficulty for atoms with atomic number greater than 137, as any element with an atomic number greater than 137 would require 1s electrons to be travelling faster than *c*, the speed of light.^[122] Hence the non-relativistic Bohr model is inaccurate when applied to such an element.

Relativistic Dirac equation

The relativistic Dirac equation has problems for elements with more than 137 protons. For such elements, the wave function of the Dirac ground state is oscillatory rather than bound, and there is no gap between the positive and negative energy spectra, as in the Klein paradox.^[123] More accurate calculations taking into account the effects of the finite size of the nucleus indicate that the binding energy first exceeds the limit for elements with more than 173 protons. For heavier elements, if the innermost orbital (1s) is not filled, the electric field of the nucleus will pull an electron out of the vacuum, resulting in the spontaneous emission of a positron;^[124] however, this does not happen if the innermost orbital is filled, so that element 173 is not necessarily the end of the periodic table.^[125]

Placement of hydrogen and helium

Simply following electron configurations, hydrogen (electronic configuration $1s^1$) and helium ($1s^2$) should be placed in groups 1 and 2, above lithium ($[\text{He}]2s^1$) and beryllium ($[\text{He}]2s^2$).^[23] However, such placing is rarely used outside of the context of electron configurations: When the noble gases (then called "inert gases") were first discovered around 1900, they were known as "group 0", reflecting no chemical reactivity of these elements known at that point, and helium was placed on the top that group, as it did share the extreme chemical inertness seen throughout the group. As the group changed its formal number, many authors continued to assign helium directly above neon, in group 18; one of the examples of such placing is the current IUPAC table.^[126]

Hydrogen's chemical properties are not very close to those of the alkali metals, which occupy group 1, and on that basis hydrogen is sometimes placed elsewhere: one of the most common alternatives is in group 17;^[111] one of the factors behind it is the strictly univalent predominantly non-metallic chemistry of hydrogen, and that of fluorine (the element placed on the top of group 17) is strictly univalent and non-metallic. Sometimes, to show how hydrogen has properties both corresponding to those of the alkali metals and the halogens, it may be shown in two columns simultaneously.^[127] Another suggestion is above carbon in group 14: placed that way, it fits well into the trend of increasing trends of ionization potential values and electron affinity values, and is not too far from the electronegativity trend, even though hydrogen cannot show the tetravalence characteristic of the heavier group 14 elements.^[128] Finally, hydrogen is sometimes placed separately from any group; this is based on how general properties of hydrogen differ from that of any group: unlike hydrogen, the other group 1 elements show extremely metallic behaviour; the group 17 elements commonly form salts (hence the term "halogen"); elements of any other group show some multivalent chemistry. The other period 1 element, helium, is sometimes placed separately from any group as well.^[129] The property that distinguishes helium from the rest of the noble gases (even though the extraordinary inertness of helium is extremely close to that of neon and argon^[130]) is that in its closed electron shell, helium has only two electrons in the outermost electron orbital, while the rest of the noble gases have eight.

Groups included in the transition metals

The definition of a transition metal, as given by IUPAC, is an element whose atom has an incomplete d sub-shell, or which can give rise to cations with an incomplete d sub-shell.^[131] By this definition all of the elements in groups 3–11 are transition metals. The IUPAC definition therefore excludes group 12, comprising zinc, cadmium and mercury, from the transition metals category.

Some chemists treat the categories "d-block elements" and "transition metals" interchangeably, thereby including groups 3–12 among the transition metals. In this instance the group 12 elements are treated as a special case of transition metal in which the d electrons are not ordinarily involved in chemical bonding. The 2007 report of mercury(IV) fluoride (HgF_4), a compound in which mercury would use its d electrons for bonding, has prompted some commentators to suggest that mercury can be regarded as a transition metal.^[132] Other commentators, such as Jensen,^[133] have argued that the formation of a compound like HgF_4 can occur only under highly abnormal conditions; indeed, its existence is currently disputed. As such, mercury could not be regarded as a transition metal by any reasonable interpretation of the ordinary meaning of the term.^[133]

Still other chemists further exclude the group 3 elements from the definition of a transition metal. They do so on the basis that the group 3 elements do not form any ions having a partially occupied d shell and do not therefore exhibit any properties characteristic of transition metal chemistry.^[134] In this case, only groups 4–11 are regarded as transition metals. However, though the group 3 elements show few of the characteristic chemical properties of the transition metals, they do show many of their characteristic physical properties in the metallic state, in which they do have partially occupied d shells.^[135]

Group 3 and its elements in periods 6 and 7

Group 3 options



Group 3: ₂₁Sc, ₃₉Y, ₇₁Lu, ₁₀₃Lr



Group 3: ₂₁Sc, ₃₉Y, ₅₇La, ₈₉Ac

Although scandium and yttrium are always the first two elements in group 3 the identity of the next two elements is not settled. They are either lanthanum and actinium, or lutetium and lawrencium. Physical and chemical arguments have been made in support of the latter arrangement^{[136][137]} but not all authors have been convinced.^[90] Most working chemists are not aware there is any controversy.^[138] In December 2015 an IUPAC project was established to make a recommendation on the matter.^[139]

Lanthanum and actinium are traditionally depicted as the remaining group 3 members.^{[140][141]} It has been suggested that this layout originated in the 1940s, with the appearance of periodic tables relying on the electron configurations of the elements and the notion of the differentiating electron. The configurations of caesium, barium and lanthanum are [Xe]6s¹, [Xe]6s² and [Xe]5d¹6s². Lanthanum thus has a 5d differentiating electron and this establishes "it in group 3 as the first member of the d-block for period 6".^[142] A consistent set of electron configurations is then seen in group 3: scandium [Ar]3d¹4s², yttrium [Kr]4d¹5s² and lanthanum [Xe]5d¹6s². Still in period 6, ytterbium was assigned an electron configuration of [Xe]4f¹³5d¹6s² and lutetium [Xe]4f¹⁴5d¹6s², "resulting in a 4f differentiating electron for lutetium and firmly establishing it as the last member of the f-block for period 6".^[142] Matthias^[143] described the placement of lanthanum under yttrium as, "a mistake in the periodic system—unfortunately mostly propagated by the Welsh [Sargent-Welch] Company...and...everybody copied it". Lavelle^[144] further argued for the retention of lanthanum under yttrium given several well-known reference books featured periodic tables with such an arrangement.

In other tables, lutetium and lawrencium are the remaining group 3 members.^[145] Early techniques for chemically separating scandium, yttrium and lutetium relied on the fact that these elements occurred together in the so-called "yttrium group" whereas La and Ac occurred together in the "cerium group".^[142] Accordingly, lutetium rather than lanthanum was assigned to group 3 by some chemists in the 1920s and 30s.^[n 18] Later spectroscopic work found that the electron configuration of ytterbium was in fact [Xe]4f¹⁴6s². This meant that ytterbium and lutetium—the latter with [Xe]4f¹⁴5d¹6s²—both had 14 f-electrons, "resulting in a d- rather than an f- differentiating electron" for lutetium and making it an "equally valid candidate" with [Xe]5d¹6s² lanthanum, for the group 3 periodic table position below yttrium.^[142] Several physicists in the 1950s and 60s opted for lutetium, in light of a comparison of several of its physical properties with those of lanthanum.^[142] This arrangement, in which lanthanum is the first member of the f-block, is disputed by some authors since lanthanum lacks any f-electrons. However, it has been argued that this is not valid concern given other periodic table anomalies—thorium, for example, has no f-electrons yet is part of the f-block.^[146] As for lawrencium, its electron configuration was confirmed in 2015 as [Rn]5f¹⁴7s²7p¹. Such a configuration represents another periodic table anomaly, regardless of whether lawrencium is located in the f-block or the d-block, as the only potentially applicable p-block position has been reserved for nihonium with its predicted electron configuration of [Rn]5f¹⁴6d¹⁰7s²7p¹.^[147]

Optimal form

The many different forms of periodic table have prompted the question of whether there is an optimal or definitive form of periodic table. The answer to this question is thought to depend on whether the chemical periodicity seen to occur among the elements has an underlying truth, effectively hard-wired into the universe, or if any such periodicity is instead the product of subjective human interpretation, contingent upon the circumstances, beliefs and predilections of human observers. An objective basis for chemical periodicity would settle the questions about the location of hydrogen and helium, and the composition of group 3. Such an underlying truth, if it exists, is thought to have not yet been discovered. In its absence, the many different forms of periodic table can be regarded as variations on the theme of chemical periodicity, each of which explores and emphasizes different aspects, properties, perspectives and relationships of and among the elements.^[n 19] The ubiquity of the standard or medium-long periodic table is thought to be a result of this layout having a good balance of features in terms of ease of construction and size, and its depiction of atomic order and periodic trends.^{[54][148]}

See also


- Abundance of the chemical elements
- Atomic electron configuration table
- Element collecting
- List of chemical elements
- List of periodic table-related articles
- Table of nuclides
- The Mystery of Matter: Search for the Elements* (PBS film)
- Timeline of chemical element discoveries

Notes

- The elements discovered initially by synthesis and later in nature are technetium ($Z=43$), promethium (61), astatine (85), neptunium (93), and plutonium (94).
- An element zero (i.e. a substance composed purely of neutrons), is included in a few alternate presentations, for example, in the Chemical Galaxy.
- There is an inconsistency and some irregularities in this convention. Thus, helium is shown in the p-block but is actually an s-block element, and (for example) the d-subshell in the d-block is actually filled by the time group 11 is reached, rather than group 12.
- The noble gases, astatine, francium, and all elements heavier than americium were left out as there is no data for them.
- While fluorine is the most electronegative of the elements under the Pauling scale, neon is the most electronegative element under other scales, such as the Allen scale.
- An antecedent of Deming's 18-column table may be seen in Adams' 16-column Periodic Table of 1911 (http://www.meta-synthesis.com/webbook/35_pt/pt_database.php?PT_id=67). Adams omits the rare earths and the "radioactive elements" (i.e. the actinides) from the main body of his table and instead shows them as being "careted in only to save space" (rare earths between Ba and eka-Yt; radioactive elements between eka-Te and eka-I). See: Elliot Q. A. (1911). "A modification of the periodic table". *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. **33**(5): 684–688 (687).
- A second extra-long periodic table row, to accommodate known and undiscovered elements with an atomic weight greater than bismuth (thorium, protactinium and uranium, for example), had been postulated as far back as 1892. Most investigators, however, considered that these elements were analogues of the third series transition elements, hafnium, tantalum and tungsten. The existence of a second inner transition series, in the form of the actinides, was not accepted until similarities with the electron structures of the lanthanides had been established. See: van Spronsen, J. W. (1969). *The periodic system of chemical elements*. Amsterdam: Elsevier. p. 315–316, ISBN 0-444-40776-6.
- Clark and White pooled their general chemistry text collections to survey trends in flyleaf periodic tables from 1948 to 2008. From 35 texts they found 11 type I; nine type II; and nine type III. Over the last 20 years of their survey period the count was nine type I; nine type II and two type III. See: Clark R. W. & White G. D. (2008). "The flyleaf periodic table". *Journal of Chemical Education*. **85**(4): 497.
- For examples of the type I table see Atkins et al. (2006). *Shriver & Atkins Inorganic Chemistry* (4th ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press • Myers et al. (2004). *Holt Chemistry*. Orlando: Holt, Rinehart & Winston • Chang R. (2000). *Essential Chemistry* (2nd ed.). Boston: McGraw-Hill
- For examples of the Group 3=Sc,Y,Lu,Lr table see Rayner-Canham G. & Overton T. (2013). *Descriptive Inorganic Chemistry* (6th ed.). New York: W. H. Freeman and Company • Brown et al. (2009). *Chemistry: The Central Science* (11th ed.). Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Pearson Education • Moore et al. (1978). *Chemistry*. Tokyo: McGraw-Hill Kogakusha
- For examples of this table see Housecroft C. E. & Sharpe A. G. (2008). *Inorganic Chemistry* (3rd ed.). Harlow: Pearson Education • Halliday et al. (2005). *Fundamentals of Physics* (7th ed.). Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons • Nebergall et al. (1980). *General Chemistry* (6th ed.). Lexington: D. C. Heath and Company
- The detachment of the lanthanides from the main body of the periodic table has been attributed to the Czech chemist Bohuslav Brauner who, in 1902, allocated all of them ("Ce etc.") to one position in group 4, below zirconium. This arrangement was referred to as the "asteroid hypothesis", in analogy to asteroids occupying a single orbit in the solar system. Before this time the lanthanides were generally (and unsuccessfully) placed throughout groups I to VIII of the older 8-column form of periodic table. Although predecessors of Brauner's 1902 arrangement are recorded from as early as 1895, he is known to have referred to the "chemistry of asteroids" in an 1881 letter to Mendeleev. Other authors assigned all of the lanthanides to either group 3, groups 3 and 4, or groups 2, 3 and 4. In 1922 Niels Bohr continued the detachment process by locating the lanthanides between the s- and d-blocks. In 1949 Glenn T. Seaborg (re)introduced the form of periodic table that is popular today, in which the lanthanides and actinides appear as footnotes. Seaborg first published his table in a classified report dated 1944. It was published again by him in 1945 in *Chemical and Engineering News*, and in the years up to 1949 several authors commented on, and generally agreed with, Seaborg's proposal. In that year he noted that the best method for presenting the actinides seemed to be by positioning them below, and as analogues of, the lanthanides. See: Thyssen P. and Binnemans K. (2011). "Accommodation of the Rare Earths in the Periodic Table: A Historical Analysis". In K. A. Gschneider Jr. (ed). *Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of the Rare Earths*. **41**. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 1–94; Seaborg G. T. (1994). Origin of the Actinide Concept'. In K. A. Gschneider Jr. (ed). *Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of the Rare Earths*. **18**. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 1–27.
- Jensen writes: "The two boxes below Sc and Y...contain either the atomic numbers 57–71 and 89–103 or the symbols La–Lu and Ac–Lr, respectively, thus indicating that all 30 of the elements in the footnote belong in just those two boxes. Expanding such a table into a 32 column table would require one to stretch the boxes for Sc and Y so that they span all 15 of the inserted columns."
- Habashi attempts to overcome this objection by placing the lanthanides in a 15-storey tower rising up from the periodic table position below yttrium (in a direction perpendicular to the plane of the table). See: Habashi F. (2015). "A New Look at the Periodic Table (http://www.eurchembull.com/index.php/ECB/article/view/1563/_132)". *European Chemical Bulletin* **4**(1): 1–7 (see p. 5).
- For the La and Ac and the Lu and Lr periodic tables, the following two tables compare the idealized numbers of *f* electrons for period 6 and 7 elements in the f-block with their actual numbers of *f* electrons. There are 20 irregularities in the first table compared to 9 in the second.

TABLE 1: Sc-Y-La-Ac periodic table

Period 6	Ce	Pr	Nd	Pm	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu
Idealized f-electrons	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Actual number	1	3	4	5	6	7	7	9	10	11	12	13	14	14
Period 7	Th	Pa	U	Np	Pu	Am	Cm	Bk	Cf	Es	Fm	Md	No	Lr
Actual number	0	2	3	4	6	7	7	9	10	11	12	13	14	14



Long form of the periodic table, resulting from the assignment of the lanthanides and actinides to Group 3, under Sc and Y. Described by Jensen (see adjacent note) as "antiquated" and an interpretation that a modern inorganic chemist would not advocate, unless "they have lost all contact between the underlying premises of their periodic table and the facts of chemistry".

TABLE 2: Sc-Y-Lu-Lr periodic table f-block showing electron configurations (light grey shading = match with idealized number of *f* electrons; dark grey shading = irregularity)

Period 6	La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Pm	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb
Idealized f-electrons	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Actual number	0	1	3	4	5	6	7	7	9	10	11	12	13	14
Period 7	Ac	Th	Pa	U	Np	Pu	Am	Cm	Bk	Cf	Es	Fm	Md	No
Actual number	0	0	2	3	4	6	7	7	9	10	11	12	13	14

For idealized f-electron numbers in Table 1 see: Newell, S. B. (1977). *Chemistry: An Introduction*. Boston: Little, Brown and Company, p. 196. For Table 2 see: Brown et al. (2009). *Chemistry: The Central Science* (11^{ed}). Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Pearson Education, pp. 207, 208–210. In both cases the counts are consistent with an ideal ground state configuration for f-block elements of [Noble gas](n-2)*f*^x*n*s² where n = the period number and x = an integer from 1 to 14. See: Rouvray D. H. (2015). "The Surprising Periodic Table: Ten Remarkable Facts". In B. Hargittai & I. Hargittai (eds). *Culture of Chemistry: The Best Articles on the Human Side of 20th-Century Chemistry from the Archives of the Chemical Intelligencer*. New York: Springer Science+Business Media, pp. 183–193 (190).

- See *The Internet database of periodic tables* (http://www.meta-synthesis.com/webbook/35_pt/pt_database.php) for depictions of these kinds of variants.
- Karol (2002, p. 63) contends that gravitational effects would become significant when atomic numbers become astronomically large, thereby overcoming other super-massive nuclei instability phenomena, and that neutron stars (with atomic numbers on the order of 10²¹) can arguably be regarded as representing the heaviest known elements in the universe. See: Karol P. J. (2002). "The Mendeleev–Seaborg periodic table: Through Z = 1138 and beyond". *Journal of Chemical Education* **79** (1): 60–63.
- The phenomenon of different separation groups is caused by increasing basicity with increasing radius, and does not constitute a fundamental reason to show Lu, rather than La, below Y. Thus, among the Group 2 alkaline earth metals, Mg (less basic) belongs in the "soluble group" and Ca, Sr and Ba (more basic) occur in the "ammonium carbonate group". Nevertheless, Mg, Ca, Sr and Ba are routinely collocated in Group 2 of the periodic table. See: Moeller et al. (1989). *Chemistry with Inorganic Qualitative Analysis* (3rd ed.). SanDiego: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, pp. 955–956, 958.
- Scerri, one of the foremost authorities on the history of the periodic table (Sella 2013), favoured the concept of an optimal form of periodic table but has recently changed his mind and now supports the value of a plurality of periodic tables. See: Sella A. (2013). "An elementary history lesson (<http://www.newscientist.com/article/mg21929291.200-an-elementary-history-lesson.html#Uiear8saySM>)". *New Scientist*. 2929, 13 August: 51, accessed 4 September 2013; and Scerri, E. (2013). "Is there an optimal periodic table and other bigger questions in the philosophy of science (<http://ericscerri23.blogspot.com/>)". 9 August, accessed 4 September 2013.

References

- "Chemistry: Four elements added to periodic table". *BBC News*. January 4, 2016.
- St. Fleur, Nicholas (December 1, 2016). "Four New Names Officially Added to the Periodic Table of Elements". *New York Times*.
- Emsley, J. (2011). *Nature's Building Blocks: An A-Z Guide to the Elements* (New ed.). New York, NY: Oxford University Press. ISBN 978-0-19-960563-7.
- Greenwood & Earnshaw, pp. 24–27
- Gray, p. 6
- CNN, Ashley Strickland. "New elements on the periodic table are named". *CNN*. Retrieved 2016-06-11.
- "Discovery and assignment of elements with atomic numbers 113, 115, 117 and 118". International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry. 2015-12-30.
- "Hello, Nihonium. Scientists Name 4 New Elements On The Periodic Table". *NPR.org*. Retrieved 2016-06-11.
- Koppenol, W. H. (2002). "Naming of New Elements (IUPAC Recommendations 2002)" (PDF). *Pure and Applied Chemistry*. **74** (5): 787–791. doi:10.1351/pac200274050787.
- Silva, Robert J. (2006). "Fermium, Mendelevium, Nobelium and Lawrencium". In Morss, L.R.; Edelstein, N.M.; Fuger, J. *The Chemistry of the Actinide and Transactinide Elements* (3rd ed.). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer Science+Business Media. ISBN 1-4020-3555-1.
- Scerri 2007, p. 24
- Messler, R. W. (2010). *The essence of materials for engineers*. Sudbury, MA: Jones & Bartlett Publishers. p. 32. ISBN 0-7637-7833-8.
- Bagnall, K. W. (1967). "Recent advances in actinide and lanthanide chemistry". In Fields, P.R.; Moeller, T. *Advances in chemistry, Lanthanide/Actinide chemistry*. Advances in Chemistry. **71**. American Chemical Society. pp. 1–12. doi:10.1021/ba-1967-0071. ISBN 0-8412-0072-6.
- Day, M. C., Jr.; Selbin, J. (1969). *Theoretical inorganic chemistry* (2nd ed.). New York: Nostrand-Rienhold Book Corporation. p. 103. ISBN 0-7637-7833-8.
- Holman, J.; Hill, G. C. (2000). *Chemistry in context* (5th ed.). Walton-on-Thames: Nelson Thornes. p. 40. ISBN 0-17-448276-0.
- Leigh, G. J. (1990). *Nomenclature of Inorganic Chemistry: Recommendations 1990*. Blackwell Science. ISBN 0-632-02494-1.
- Fluck, E. (1988). "New Notations in the Periodic Table" (PDF). *Pure Appl. Chem.* IUPAC. **60** (3): 431–436. doi:10.1351/pac198860030431. Retrieved 24 March 2012.
- Moore, p. 111
- Greenwood & Earnshaw, p. 30
- Stoker, S. H. (2007). *General, organic, and biological chemistry*. New York: Houghton Mifflin. p. 68. ISBN 978-0-618-73063-6. OCLC 52445586.
- Mascetta, J. (2003). *Chemistry The Easy Way* (4th ed.). New York: Hauppauge. p. 50. ISBN 978-0-7641-1978-1. OCLC 52047235.
- Kotz, J.; Treichel, P.; Townsend, John (2009). *Chemistry and Chemical Reactivity, Volume 2* (7th ed.). Belmont: Thomson Brooks/Cole. p. 324. ISBN 978-0-495-38712-1. OCLC 220756597.
- Gray, p. 12
- Jones, C. (2002). *d- and f-block chemistry*. New York: J. Wiley & Sons. p. 2. ISBN 978-0-471-22476-1. OCLC 300468713.
- Silberberg, M. S. (2006). *Chemistry: The molecular nature of matter and change* (4th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill. p. 536. ISBN 0-07-111658-3.
- Manson, S. S.; Halford, G. R. (2006). *Fatigue and durability of structural materials*. Materials Park, Ohio: ASM International. p. 376. ISBN 0-87170-825-6.
- Bullinger, H.-J. (2009). *Technology guide: Principles, applications, trends*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. p. 8. ISBN 978-3-540-88545-0.
- Jones, B. W. (2010). *Pluto: Sentinel of the outer solar system*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. pp. 169–71. ISBN 978-0-521-19436-5.
- Hinrichs, G. D. (1869). "On the classification and the atomic weights of the so-called chemical elements, with particular reference to Stas's determinations". *Proceedings of the American Association for the Advancement of Science*. **18** (5): 112–124.
- Myers, R. (2003). *The basics of chemistry*. Westport, CT: Greenwood Publishing Group. pp. 61–67. ISBN 0-313-31664-3.
- Chang, R. (2002). *Chemistry* (7 ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill. pp. 289–310; 340–42. ISBN 0-07-112072-6.
- Greenwood & Earnshaw, p. 27
- Jolly, W. L. (1991). *Modern Inorganic Chemistry* (2nd ed.). McGraw-Hill. p. 22. ISBN 978-0-07-112651-9.
- Greenwood & Earnshaw, p. 28

35. IUPAC, *Compendium of Chemical Terminology*, 2nd ed. (the "Gold Book") (1997). Online corrected version: (2006–) "Electronegativity (<http://goldbook.iupac.org/E01990.html>)".
36. Pauling, L. (1932). "The Nature of the Chemical Bond. IV. The Energy of Single Bonds and the Relative Electronegativity of Atoms". *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. **54** (9): 3570–3582. Bibcode:1932JChS..54.2610C. doi:10.1021/ja01348a011.
37. Allred, A. L. (1960). "Electronegativity values from thermochemical data". *Journal of Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry*. Northwestern University. **17** (3–4): 215–221. doi:10.1016/0022-1902(61)80142-5. Retrieved 11 June 2012.
38. Huheey, Keiter & Keiter, p. 42
39. Siekierski, S.; Burgess, J. (2002). *Concise chemistry of the elements*. Chichester: Horwood Publishing. pp. 35–36. ISBN 1-898563-71-3.
40. Chang, pp. 307–309
41. Huheey, Keiter & Keiter, pp. 42, 880–81
42. Yoder, C. H.; Suydam, F. H.; Snavely, F. A. (1975). *Chemistry* (2nd ed.). Harcourt Brace Jovanovich. p. 58. ISBN 0-15-506465-7.
43. Huheey, Keiter & Keiter, pp. 880–85
44. Sacks, O (2009). *Uncle Tungsten: Memories of a chemical boyhood*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf. pp. 191, 194. ISBN 0-375-70404-3.
45. Gray, p. 9
46. Siegfried, R. (2002). *From elements to atoms a history of chemical composition*. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data. p. 92. ISBN 0-87169-924-9.
47. Ball, p. 100
48. Horvitz, L. (2002). *Eureka!: Scientific Breakthroughs That Changed The World*. New York: John Wiley. p. 43. ISBN 978-0-471-23341-1. OCLC 50766822.
49. Aug. Kekulé (1857). "Über die s. g. gepaarten Verbindungen und die Theorie der mehratomigen Radicale". *Annalen der Chemie und Pharmacie*. **104** (2): 129–150. doi:10.1002/jlac.18571040202.
50. van Spronsen, J. W. (1969). *The periodic system of chemical elements*. Amsterdam: Elsevier. p. 19. ISBN 0-444-40776-6.
51. "Alexandre-Emile Bélguiet de Chancourtois (1820-1886)" (in French). Annales des Mines history page. Retrieved 18 September 2014.
52. Venable, pp. 85–86; 97
53. Odling, W. (2002). "On the proportional numbers of the elements". *Quarterly Journal of Science*. **1**: 642–648 (643).
54. Scerri, E. (2011). *The periodic table: A very short introduction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. ISBN 978-0-19-958249-5.
55. Kaji, M. (2004). "Discovery of the periodic law: Mendeleev and other researchers on element classification in the 1860s". In Rouvray, D. H.; King, R. Bruce. *The periodic table: Into the 21st Century*. Research Studies Press. pp. 91–122 (95). ISBN 0-86380-292-3.
56. Newlands, J. A. R. (20 August 1864). "On Relations Among the Equivalents". *Chemical News*. **10**: 94–95.
57. Newlands, J. A. R. (18 August 1865). "On the Law of Octaves". *Chemical News*. **12**: 83.
58. Bryson, B. (2004). *A Short History of Nearly Everything*. Black Swan. pp. 141–142. ISBN 978-0-552-15174-0.
59. Scerri 2007, p. 306
60. Brock, W. H.; Knight, D. M. (1965). "The Atomic Debates: Memorable and Interesting Evenings in the Life of the Chemical Society". *Isis*. The University of Chicago Press. **56** (1): 5–25. doi:10.1086/349922.
61. Scerri 2007, pp. 87, 92
62. Kauffman, G. B. (March 1969). "American forerunners of the periodic law". *Journal of Chemical Education*. **46** (3): 128–135 (132). Bibcode:1969JChEd..46..128K. doi:10.1021/ed046p128.
63. Mendelejew, D. (1869). "Über die Beziehungen der Eigenschaften zu den Atomgewichten der Elemente". *Zeitschrift für Chemie* (in German): 405–406.
64. Venable, pp. 96–97; 100–102
65. Ball, pp. 100–102
66. Pullman, B. (1998). *The Atom in the History of Human Thought*. Translated by Axel Reisinger. Oxford University Press. p. 227. ISBN 0-19-515040-6.
67. Ball, p. 105
68. Atkins, P. W. (1995). *The Periodic Kingdom*. HarperCollins Publishers, Inc. p. 87. ISBN 0-465-07265-8.
69. Samanta, C.; Chowdhury, P. Roy; Basu, D.N. (2007). "Predictions of alpha decay half lives of heavy and superheavy elements". *Nucl. Phys. A*. **789**: 142–154. arXiv:nucl-th/0703086. Bibcode:2007NuPhA.789..142S. doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2007.04.001.
70. Scerri 2007, p. 112
71. Kaji, M. (2002). "D.I. Mendeleev's Concept of Chemical Elements and the Principle of Chemistry" (PDF). *Bull. Hist. Chem.* Tokyo Institute of Technology. **27** (1): 4–16. Retrieved 11 June 2012.
72. Adloff, J.-P.; Kaufman, G. B. (25 September 2005). "Francium (Atomic Number 87), the Last Discovered Natural Element". The Chemical Educator. Retrieved 26 March 2007.
73. Hoffman, D. C.; Lawrence, F. O.; Mewherter, J. L.; Rourke, F. M. (1971). "Detection of Plutonium-244 in Nature". *Nature*. **234** (5325): 132–134. Bibcode:1971Natur.234..132H. doi:10.1038/234132a0.
74. Gray, p. 12
75. Deming, H. G. (1923). *General chemistry: An elementary survey*. New York: J. Wiley & Sons. pp. 160, 165.
76. Abraham, M.; Coshov, D; Fix, W. *Periodicity: A source book module, version 1.0* (PDF). New York: Chemsources, Inc. p. 3.
77. Emsley, J (7 March 1985). "Mendeleev's dream table". *New Scientist*: 32–36(36).
78. Fluck, E (1988). "New notations in the period table". *Pure & Applied Chemistry*. **60** (3): 431–436 (432). doi:10.1351/pac198860030431.
79. Ball, p. 111
80. Scerri 2007, pp. 270–71
81. Masterton, W. L.; Hurley, C. N.; Neth, E. J. *Chemistry: Principles and reactions* (7th ed.). Belmont, CA: Brooks/Cole Cengage Learning. p. 173. ISBN 1-111-42710-0.
82. Ball, p. 123
83. Barber, R. C.; Karol, P. J.; Nakahara, Hiromichi; Vardaci, Emanuele; Vogt, E. W. (2011). "Discovery of the elements with atomic numbers greater than or equal to 113 (IUPAC Technical Report)". *Pure Appl. Chem*. **83** (7): 1485. doi:10.1351/PAC-REP-10-05-01.
84. Эксперимент по синтезу 117-го элемента получает продолжение [Experiment on synthesis of the 117th element is to be continued] (in Russian). JINR. 2012.
85. Clark, R.W.; White, G.D. (2008). "The Flyleaf Periodic Table". *Journal of Chemical Education*. **85** (4): 497. Bibcode:2008JChEd..85..497C. doi:10.1021/ed085p497.
86. Myers, R.T.; Oldham, K.B.; S., Tocci (2004). *Holt Chemistry*. Orlando: Holt, Rinehart and Winston. p. 130. ISBN 0-03-066463-2.
87. Thyssen, P.; Binnemans, K (2011). Gschneidner Jr., K.A.; Bünzli, J.-C.G; Vecharsky, Bünzli, eds. *Accommodation of the Rare Earths in the Periodic Table: A Historical Analysis. Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths*. **41**. Amsterdam: Elsevier. pp. 1–94. ISBN 978-0-444-53590-0.
88. Stewart, P.J. (2008). "The Flyleaf Table: An Alternative". *Journal of Chemical Education*. **85** (11): 1490. Bibcode:2008JChEd..85.1490S. doi:10.1021/ed085p1490.
89. Jensen, WB (2008). "The Periodic Table: Facts or Committees?". *Journal of Chemical Education*. **85** (11): 1491–1492. Bibcode:2008JChEd..85.1491J. doi:10.1021/ed085p1491.2.
90. Scerri, E. (2012). "Mendeleev's Periodic Table Is Finally Completed and What To Do about Group 3?". *Chemistry International*. **34** (4).
91. Brown, T. L.; LeMay Jr, H. E; Bursten, B. E. (2009). *Chemistry: The Central Science* (11 ed.). Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Pearson Education. pp. 207, 208–210. ISBN 9780132358484.
92. Scerri 2007, p. 20
93. "Weird Words of Science: Lemniscate Elemental Landscapes". *Fields of Science*. fieldsofscience.com. 22 March 2009. Retrieved 4 January 2016.
94. Scerri, E. (2013). *A Tale of 7 Elements*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. p. 190. ISBN 978-0-19-539131-2. Retrieved 27 August 2016. "... no interruptions in the sequence of increasing atomic numbers..."
95. Newell, S. B. (1980). *Chemistry: An introduction*. Boston: Little, Brown and Company. p. 196. ISBN 978-0-316-60455-0. Retrieved 27 August 2016.
96. Jensen, W. B. (1982). "Classification, Symmetry and the Periodic Table". *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*. **12B** (1/2): 487–510(498). doi:10.1016/0898-1221(86)90167-7.
97. Leach, M. R. "Concerning electronegativity as a basic elemental property and why the periodic table is usually represented in its medium form". *Foundations of Chemistry*. **15** (1): 13–29. doi:10.1007/s10698-012-9151-3.
98. Emsely, J; Sharp, R (21 June 2010). "The periodic table: Top of the charts". *The Independent*.
99. Seaborg, G. (1964). "Plutonium: The Ormery Element". *Chemistry*. **37** (6): 14.
100. Mark R. Leach. "1925 Courtines' Periodic Classification". Retrieved 16 October 2012.
101. Mark R. Leach. "1949 Wringley's Lamina System". Retrieved 16 October 2012.

102. Mazurs, E.G. (1974). *Graphical Representations of the Periodic System During One Hundred Years*. Alabama: University of Alabama Press. p. 111. ISBN 978-0-8173-3200-6.
103. Mark R. Leach. "1996 Dufour's Periodic Tree". Retrieved 16 October 2012.
104. Mark R. Leach. "1989 Physicist's Periodic Table by Timothy Stowe". Retrieved 16 October 2012.
105. Bradley, D. (20 July 2011). "At last, a definitive periodic table?". *ChemViews Magazine*. doi:10.1002/chemv.201000107.
106. Scerri 2007, pp. 285–86
107. Scerri 2007, p. 285
108. Mark R. Leach. "2002 Inorganic Chemist's Periodic Table". Retrieved 16 October 2012.
109. Scerri, E. (2008). "The role of triads in the evolution of the periodic table: Past and present". *Journal of Chemical Education*. **85** (4): 585–89 (see p.589). Bibcode:2008JChEd..85..585S. doi:10.1021/ed085p585.
110. Alper, R. (2010). "The simplified periodic table: elements ordered by their subshells". *The Journal of Biological Physics and Chemistry*. **10** (2): 74–80. doi:10.4024/43AL09F.jbpc.10.02.
111. Scerri, E. (2012). "Some comments on the recently proposed periodic table featuring elements ordered by their subshells". *Journal of Biological Physics and Chemistry*. **12** (2): 69–70.
112. Bent, H. A.; Weinhold, F. (2007). "Supporting information: News from the periodic table: An introduction to "Periodicity symbols, tables, and models for higher-order valency and donor–acceptor kinships" ". *Journal of Chemical Education*. **84** (7): 3–4. doi:10.1021/ed084p1145.
113. Schändel, M. (2003). *The Chemistry of Superheavy Elements*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers. p. 277. ISBN 1-4020-1250-0.
114. Scerri 2011, pp. 142–143
115. Fricke, B.; Greiner, W.; Waber, J. T. (1971). "The continuation of the periodic table up to Z = 172. The chemistry of superheavy elements". *Theoretica chimica acta*. Springer-Verlag. **21** (3): 235–260. doi:10.1007/BF01172015. Retrieved 28 November 2012.
116. Frazier, K. (1978). "Superheavy Elements". *Science News*. **113** (15): 236–238. doi:10.2307/3963006. JSTOR 3963006.
117. Pyykkö, P. (2011). "A suggested periodic table up to Z ≤ 172, based on Dirac–Fock calculations on atoms and ions". *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*. **13** (1): 161–168. Bibcode:2011PCCP...13..161P. doi:10.1039/c0cp01575j. PMID 20967377.
118. Elliot, Q. A. (1911). "A modification of the periodic table". *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. **33** (5): 684–688 (688). doi:10.1021/ja02218a004.
119. Seaborg, G. c. (2006). "transuranium element (chemical element)". Encyclopædia Britannica. Retrieved 16 March 2010.
120. Cwiok, S.; Heenen, P.-H.; Nazarewicz, W. (2005). "Shape coexistence and triaxiality in the superheavy nuclei". *Nature*. **433** (7027): 705–9. Bibcode:2005Natur.433..705C. doi:10.1038/nature03336. PMID 15716943.
121. Ball, P. 2010, Column: The crucible (<http://www.rsc.org/chemistryworld/Issues/2010/November/ColumnTheCrucible> in *Chemistry World*, November, Royal Society of Chemistry
122. Eisberg, R.; Resnick, R. (1985). *Quantum Physics of Atoms, Molecules, Solids, Nuclei and Particles*. Wiley.
123. Bjorken, J. D.; Drell, S. D. (1964). *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics*. McGraw-Hill.
124. Greiner, W.; Schramm, S. (2008). "American Journal of Physics". **76**: 509., and references therein.
125. Ball, P. (November 2010). "Would Element 137 Really Spell the End of the Periodic Table? Philip Ball Examines the Evidence". Royal Society of Chemistry. Retrieved 30 September 2012.
126. IUPAC (2013-05-01). "IUPAC Periodic Table of the Elements" (PDF). *iupac.org*. IUPAC. Retrieved 2015-09-20.
127. Seaborg, G. (1945). "The chemical and radioactive properties of the heavy elements". *Chemical English Newspaper*. **23** (23): 2190–2193.
128. Cronyn, M. W. (August 2003). "The Proper Place for Hydrogen in the Periodic Table". *Journal of Chemical Education*. **80** (8): 947–951. Bibcode:2003JChEd..80..947C. doi:10.1021/ed080p947.
129. Greenwood & Earnshaw, throughout the book
130. Lewars, Errol G. (2008-12-05). *Modeling Marvels: Computational Anticipation of Novel Molecules*. Springer Science & Business Media. pp. 69–71. ISBN 9781402069734.
131. IUPAC, *Compendium of Chemical Terminology*, 2nd ed. (the "Gold Book") (1997). Online corrected version: (2006–) "transition element (<http://goldbook.iupac.org/T06456.html>)".
132. Xuefang, W.; Andrews, L.; Riedel, S.; Kaupp, M. (2007). "Mercury Is a Transition Metal: The First Experimental Evidence for HgF₄". *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **46** (44): 8371–8375. doi:10.1002/anie.200703710. PMID 17899620.
133. Jensen, W. B. (2008). "Is Mercury Now a Transition Element?". *J. Chem. Educ.* **85** (9): 1182–1183. Bibcode:2008JChEd..85.1182J. doi:10.1021/ed085p1182.
134. Rayner-Canham, G; Overton, T. *Descriptive inorganic chemistry* (4th ed.). New York: W H Freeman. pp. 484–485. ISBN 0-7167-8963-9.
135. Greenwood & Earnshaw, p. 947
136. Thyssen, P.; Binnemanns, K. (2011). "1: Accommodation of the rare earths in the periodic table: A historical analysis". In Gschneidner Jr., K. A.; Büzli, J.-C. J.; Pecharsky, V. K. *Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths*. **41**. Amsterdam: Elsevier. pp. 80–81. ISBN 978-0-444-53590-0.
137. Keeler, J.; Wothers, P. (2014). *Chemical Structure and Reactivity: An Integrated Approach*. Oxford: Oxford University. p. 259. ISBN 978-0-19-9604135.
138. Castelvecechi, D. (8 April 2015). "Exotic atom struggles to find its place in the periodic table". *Nature News*. Retrieved 20 Sep 2015.
139. "The constitution of group 3 of the periodic table". IUPAC. 2015. Retrieved 30 Jul 2016.
140. Emsley, J. (2011). *Nature's Building Blocks* (new ed.). Oxford: Oxford University. p. 651. ISBN 978-0-19-960563-7.
141. See, for example: "Periodic Table". Royal Society of Chemistry. Retrieved 20 Sep 2015.
142. William B. Jensen (1982). "The Positions of Lanthanum (Actinium) and Lutetium (Lawrencium) in the Periodic Table". *J. Chem. Educ.* **59** (8): 634–636. doi:10.1021/ed059p634.
143. Matthias, B. T. (1969). "Systematics of Super Conductivity". In Wallace, P. R. *Superconductivity, Proceedings of the Advanced Summer Study Institute, McGill University, Montreal*. **1**. New York: Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers. pp. 225–294 (249).
144. Lavelle, L. (2009). "Response to Misapplying the periodic law". *Journal of Chemical Education*. **86** (10): 1187. doi:10.1021/ed086p1187.
145. See, for example: Brown, T. L.; LeMay Jr., H. E.; Bursten, B. E.; Murphy, C. J. (2009). *Chemistry: The Central Science* (11th ed.). Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Pearson Education. p. endpapers. ISBN 0-13-235848-4.
146. Scerri, E (2015). "Five ideas in chemical education that must die - part five". *educationinchemistryblog*. Royal Society of Chemistry. Retrieved Sep 19, 2015. "It is high time that the idea of group 3 consisting of Sc, Y, La and Ac is abandoned"
147. Jensen, W. B. (2015). "Some Comments on the Position of Lawrencium in the Periodic Table" (PDF). Retrieved 20 Sep 2015.
148. Francl, M. (May 2009). "Table manners" (PDF). *Nature Chemistry*. **1** (2): 97–98. Bibcode:2009NatCh...1...97F. doi:10.1038/nchem.183. PMID 21378810.

Bibliography

- Ball, P. (2002). *The Ingredients: A Guided Tour of the Elements*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. ISBN 0-19-284100-9.
- Chang, R. (2002). *Chemistry* (7th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill Higher Education. ISBN 978-0-19-284100-1.
- Gray, T. (2009). *The Elements: A Visual Exploration of Every Known Atom in the Universe*. New York: Black Dog & Leventhal Publishers. ISBN 978-1-57912-814-2.
- Greenwood, N. N.; Earnshaw, A. (1984). *Chemistry of the Elements*. Oxford: Pergamon Press. ISBN 0-08-022057-6.
- Huheey, J. E.; Keiter, EA; Keiter, RL. *Principles of structure and reactivity* (4th ed.). New York: Harper Collins College Publishers. ISBN 0-06-042995-X.
- Moore, J. (2003). *Chemistry For Dummies*. New York: Wiley Publications. p. 111. ISBN 978-0-7645-5430-8.
- Scerri, E. (2007). *The periodic table: Its story and its significance*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. ISBN 0-19-530573-6.

- Scerri, E. (2011). *The periodic table: A very short introduction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. ISBN 978-0-19-958249-5.
- Venable, F. P. (1896). *The Development of the Periodic Law*. Easton, Pennsylvania: Chemical Publishing Company.

External links

- IUPAC. "Periodic Table of the Elements". Retrieved 24 May 2016. Note: There is no IUPAC ruling on the composition of Group 3.
- M. Dayah. "Dynamic Periodic Table". Retrieved 14 May 2012.
- Brady Haran. "The Periodic Table of Videos". University of Nottingham. Retrieved 14 May 2012.
- Mark Winter. "WebElements: the periodic table on the web". University of Sheffield. Retrieved 14 May 2012.
- Mark R. Leach. "The INTERNET Database of Periodic Tables". Retrieved 14 May 2012.

Retrieved from "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Periodic_table&oldid=753870807"

Categories: Classification systems | Dmitri Mendeleev | Periodic table | Russian inventions | 1869 works

- This page was last modified on 9 December 2016, at 17:34.
- Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.